Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Letter

Journal of Alloys and Compounds



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

# Low-temperature sintering and microwave dielectric properties of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics

## Li-Xia Pang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Huan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Di Zhou<sup>b</sup>, Jia-Xing Yang<sup>a</sup>, Dang-Juan Li<sup>a</sup>, Wei-Guo Liu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Thin Films Technology and Optical Test, Xi'an Technological University, Xi'an 710032, China

<sup>b</sup> Electronic Materials Research Laboratory, Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 November 2011 Accepted 5 February 2012 Available online xxx

*Keywords:* Oxide materials Ceramics Microwave dielectric LTCC

#### ABSTRACT

Both Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics were prepared via the solid state reaction method. With 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition, the sintering temperatures of both Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics can be lowered to near 930 °C. The chemical compatibility of silver electrode and the low-firing ceramics has been considered, and the results showed that both the Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics are chemical compatible with Ag. Good microwave dielectric properties were obtained with permittivities of 14.1 and 10.3, quality factor  $Q_{\rm f}$  values of 29,900 (at 12.4 GHz) and 14,600 GHz (at 13.5 GHz), and temperature coefficient of resonant frequency values of -48 and -28 ppm/°C for Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition, respectively.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Low temperature co-fired ceramic (LTCC) technology has been critically important in the development of electronic devices for wireless communication. Transition from surface mount discrete components to integrated components in a substrate requires LTCC materials which can be co-fired with metal electrodes. In order to use the most common electrode silver, ceramic must have low sintering temperature below 960 °C and chemical compatibility with Ag [1–9].

Our previous work showed that Li<sub>3</sub>NbO<sub>4</sub> ceramic is a promising dielectric material for LTCC technology with permittivity ( $\varepsilon_r$ ) of 15.8, Q<sub>f</sub> value of 55,009 GHz and temperature coefficient of resonant frequency (TCF) about –49 ppm/°C [10]. Most of time, Ta<sup>5+</sup> and Sb<sup>5+</sup> ions have similar chemical and physical properties with Nb<sup>5+</sup>, and they could be submitted by each other in the compounds [11,12]. In this work, the sintering behavior and microwave dielectric properties of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics were studied. The sintering adds B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [13] was used to improve the sintering behavior of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics and to get materials for LTCC application.

#### 2. Experimental

Proportionate amounts of reagent-grade starting materials of  $Li_2CO_3$ ,  $Ta_2O_5$ , and  $Sb_2O_3$  (>99%, Guo-Yao Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China) were prepared according to the composition  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb). The raw materials were mixed and milled for 4 h.

Powders were then calcined at 900 °C for 4 h and re-milled for 5 h with x wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition (x = 0.0, 1.0). The final Green cylinder samples were sintered at temperature 1100–1230 °C or 870–990 °C for 2 h.

To check the chemical compatibility of the low-fired Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics with the silver electrode, 20 wt.% powdered silver was mixed and homogenized with the ceramic powder [14], and then the mixture was pressed into pellets and fired at 930 °C for 2 h to achieve equilibrium.

The crystalline structures of samples were investigated using X-ray diffraction with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (Rigaku D/MAX-2400 X-ray diffractometry, Japan) using ground powders. The apparent densities of sintered ceramics were measured by Archimedes' method. Dielectric behaviors at microwave frequency were measured by the TE<sub>01δ</sub> shielded cavity method with a network analyzer (8720ES, Agilent, U.S.A.) and a temperature chamber (DELTA 9023, Delta Design, U.S.A.). The temperature coefficient of resonant frequency (TCF) was calculated by the following formula:

$$TCF = \frac{f_{85} - f_{25}}{f_{85} \times (85 - 25)} \ (ppm/^{\circ}C)$$
(1)

where  $f_{85}$  and  $f_{25}$  were the TE<sub>01 $\delta$ </sub> resonant frequencies at 85 and 25 °C, respectively.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the apparent density of  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics vs. sintering temperature. The pure  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics were difficult to be well densified and their sintering temperatures were relatively high (above 1200 °C). To lower the sintering temperature,  $B_2O_3$  was used as the sintering additive. As seen in Fig. 1, with 1 wt.%  $B_2O_3$  addition, the apparent densities of both  $Li_3TaO_4$  and  $Li_3SbO_4$  ceramics got saturated values after being sintered at 900 °C. It means that the sintering temperatures of  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics was lowered to about 900 °C, and this sintering temperature makes it possible for use in LTCC technology.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 29 83208006; fax: +86 29 83208210. *E-mail address:* plx1982@gmail.com (L-X. Pang).

<sup>0925-8388/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.02.047



Fig. 1. Apparent density of  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics as a function of sintering temperature.

The XRD patterns of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M = Ta, Sb) samples calcined at 900 °C for 4 h and the co-fired ceramics with 20 wt.% Ag powders sintered at 930 °C for 2 h are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that pure phase can be obtained in both of the calcined Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> samples. The diffraction peaks of Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> sample can be indexed as a rock salt-type monoclinic structure with space group C2/c (15), which agrees well with Zocchi et al.'s report [15]. The Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> belongs to a monoclinic structure with space group P2/c (13) as reported by Skakle et al. [16]. From the XRD patterns of the co-fired samples, it can be seen that only Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M = Ta, Sb) phase and cubic Ag phase were observed, which indicates that both the Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics are chemical compatible with silver at a sintering temperature of 930 °C.

The microwave dielectric properties of  $Li_3MO_4$  (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics as a function of sintering temperature are shown in Fig. 3, and Table 1 presents their best microwave dielectric properties and the corresponding sintering temperatures. Both the dielectric constant (permittivity) and  $Q_f$  value of pure  $Li_3MO_4$  (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics are sensitive with the sintering temperature, as shown in



Fig. 2. XRD patterns of  $Li_3MO_4$  (M = Ta, Sb) samples calcined at 900 °C for 4 h and the co-fired samples with 20 wt.% Ag powders sintered at 930 °C for 2 h.



**Fig. 3.** Microwave dielectric constant (a) and  $Q_f$  value (b) of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics as a function of sintering temperature.

Fig. 3. For Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub>, the best microwave dielectric properties were obtained in ceramic sintered at 1160 °C for 2 h with permittivity of 13.9,  $Q_f$  value about 35,300 GHz, and its TCF value was  $-52 \text{ ppm}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ (as listed in Table 1). The pure Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramic sintered at 1200 °C possesses relatively much lower permittivity of 7.7, Qf value of 16,400 GHz, and TCF value of -45 ppm/°C. As shown in Fig. 3, the microwave dielectric properties of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M=Ta, Sb) ceramics with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition were much stable with the changed sintering temperature in the range of 900-960 °C. The Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> ceramic with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition shows microwave dielectric properties with permittivity of 14.1, Qf value of 29,900 GHz, and TCF value of -48 ppm/°C. For Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramic with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition, its dielectric constant, Qf value, and TCF value are 10.3, 14,600 GHz, and -28 ppm/°C, respectively. Compared with the case of Li<sub>3</sub>NbO<sub>4</sub> ceramic, both pure Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics have much higher sintering temperatures. The addition of 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> effectively lowered the sintering temperature to near 930 °C without much deterioration of Qf values as listed in Table 1. Comparing with other commonly used low-permittivity LTCC materials of multiphase, such as Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MO–SiO<sub>2</sub> (M=Ca, Mg, Zn), MO–TiO<sub>2</sub>, and CaWO<sub>4</sub> based ceramics or glass-ceramic [17-20], the Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M = Ta, Sb) ceramics with 1 wt.%  $B_2O_3$  addition possessed comparative microwave dielectric properties (as shown in Table 1), and crystallized as a single phase ceramic, which would be beneficial to the property of mechanical strength and repeatability of a LTCC substrate material.

### Table 1

Microwave dielectric behavior of Li<sub>3</sub>MO<sub>4</sub> (M = Ta, Sb, Nb) ceramics and other low-permittivity LTCC materials.

Sample	S.T. (°C)	Frequency (GHz)	Permittivity	$Q_{\rm f}({\rm GHz})$	TCF (ppm/°C)
Li <sub>3</sub> TaO <sub>4</sub>	1160	9.42	13.9	35,300	-52
Li <sub>3</sub> SbO <sub>4</sub>	1200	12.20	7.7	16,400	-45
$Li_3TaO_4$ , $w(B_2O_3) = 1.0\%$	930	12.40	14.1	29,900	-48
$Li_3SbO_4$ , $w(B_2O_3) = 1.0\%$	930	13.46	10.3	14,600	-28
Li <sub>3</sub> NbO <sub>4</sub> [10]	930	8.99	15.8	55,009	-49
$w(Al_2O_3) = 50\%, w(ZnO-B_2O_3-SiO_2) = 50\%$ [17]	900		5.72	17,757	-21
$(Zn_{0.8}Mg_{0.2})_2SiO_4$ —TiO <sub>2</sub> , $w(Li_2O$ —B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —SiO <sub>2</sub> )=3% [18]	870		8.48	11,500	0
0.85CaWO <sub>4</sub> —0.15SmNbO <sub>4</sub> , w(Li <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> )=1% [19]	800		12.03	11,300	-28.6
MgTiO <sub>3</sub> —CaTiO <sub>3</sub> , ZnO—B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> —SiO <sub>2</sub> [20]	900		8.5	8889	6.2

S.T.: sintering temperature.

#### 4. Conclusions

Both pure Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> phases can be formed at 900 °C. The addition of 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can effectively lower the sintering temperatures of both Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics to near 930 °C. The Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> ceramic with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition shows microwave dielectric properties with a permittivity of 14.1, a  $Q_f$  value of 29,900 GHz, and TCF value of  $-48 \text{ ppm}/^{\circ}$ C at frequency 12.4 GHz. For Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramic with 1 wt.% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> addition, its dielectric constant,  $Q_f$  value, and TCF value are 10.3, 14,600 GHz (at 13.5 GHz), and  $-28 \text{ ppm}/^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The XRD results of co-fired sample show that both the Li<sub>3</sub>TaO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>SbO<sub>4</sub> ceramics are chemical compatible with Ag at 930 °C.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by headmaster foundation of Xi'an Technological University (XAGDXJJ1001).

#### References

- [1] M.T. Sebastian, H. Jantunen, Int. Mater. Rev. 53 (2008) 57–90.
- [2] Q.L. Zhang, F. Wu, H. Yang, J.F. Li, J. Alloys Compd. 508 (2010) 610–615.

- [3] I.S. Cho, G.K. Choi, J.S. An, J.R. Kim, K.S. Hong, Mater. Res. Bull. 44 (2009) 173-178.
- [4] B.G. Choi, M.G. Stubbs, C.S. Park, IEEE Microwave Wireless Comp. Lett. 13 (2003) 388–389.
- [5] D.K. Kwon, M.T. Lanagan, T.R. Shrout, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 88 (2005) 3419–3422.
- [6] Q. Zeng, W. Li, J.L. Shi, J.K. Guo, Mater. Lett. 60 (2006) 3203-3206.
- [7] C.L. Huang, C.L. Pan, W.C. Lee, J. Alloys Compd. 462 (2008) L5-L8.
- [8] A. Feteira, D.C. Sinclair, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 91 (2008) 1338-1341.
- [9] R. Wang, J. Zhou, B. Li, L.T. Li, J. Alloys Compd. 490 (2010) 204–207.
- [10] D. Zhou, H. Wang, L.X. Pang, X. Yao, X.G. Wu, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 91 (2008) 4115-4117.
- [11] J.H. Park, Y.J. Choi, S. Nahm, J.G. Park, J. Alloys Compd. 509 (2011) 6908-6912.
- [12] D. Zhou, H. Wang, X. Yao, L.X. Pang, Mater. Chem. Phys. 110 (2008) 212–215.
- [13] R. Umemura, H. Ogawa, A. Yokoi, H. Ohsato, A. Kan, J. Alloys Compd. 424 (2006) 388–393.
- [14] M. Valant, D. Suvorov, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 83 (2000) 2721–2729.
- [15] M. Zocchi, M.G. Santoro, R.S. Roth, J. Solid State Chem. 48 (1983) 420-430.
- [16] Janet M.S. Skakle, Maria A. Castellanos R., Trujillo Tovar Sonia, Susan M. Fray, Anthony R. West, J. Mater. Chem. 6 (1996) 1939–1942.
- [17] S.O. Yoon, S.H. Shim, K.S. Kim, J.K. Park, S. Kim, Ceram. Int. 35 (2009) 1271–1275.
- [18] Q.L. Zhang, H. Yang, J.L. Zou, Mater. Lett. 62 (2008) 3872-3874.
- [19] S.L. Kim, E.S. Kim, Ceram. Int. 35 (2009) 137-141.
- [20] H. Jantunen, R. Rautioaho, A. Uusimäki, S. Leppävuori, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 20 (2000) 2331–2336.